LOST IN THE STARS
Note from the Editor

It’s been well over a decade since this Newsletter has featured *Lost in the Stars* (1949), Weill’s last completed stage work, which—billed as a “musical tragedy”—turned Broadway’s cherished “musical comedy” emphatically on its head. Based on Alan Paton’s *Cry, the Beloved Country* (1948), a novel that indicted South Africa’s then recently adopted “apartheid” policy, *Lost in the Stars* utilized the book’s message to criticize racial injustice in the United States. From today’s perspective it seems almost unfathomable, but African-Americans who wanted to catch a performance of *Lost in the Stars* in several cities during its 14-week road tour were forced to watch it from the balconies of segregated theaters, and many a hotel would not accommodate the multi-racial cast. Though people have overcome “Jim Crow” laws and apartheid (encouraged by such charismatic figures as Martin Luther King, Jr. and Nelson Mandela), racial injustice and persecution sadly persist in many parts of the world, so that today’s performances of *Lost in the Stars* hold more than historical interest.

This issue of the Newsletter provides some ancillary information about the show and its reception, spotlighting little-known details. Weill and Maxwell Anderson had long planned a musical about racial inequality, and several of the songs found in *Lost in the Stars* were written in 1939 for such a project (entitled *Ulysses Africanus*), including the song “Lost in the Stars.” The project came to naught, but “Lost in the Stars” was published and recorded before it became a pivotal song in the eponymous 1949 stage work. Although Anderson expressed some universally applicable existentialist sentiments in this song, he and Weill always intended “Lost in the Stars” for an African-American character in the various stage scenarios that they discussed. While the song has become a classic, the “musical tragedy” *Lost in the Stars* is not (yet) frequently produced, but it has enjoyed heightened interest lately, as evinced by two recent productions in the U.S. (the latest reviewed on p. 22 in this issue). Theatre Three in Dallas has already announced a production for May 2009.

*Elmar Juchem*

*Note to our readers:* Thanks to all of you who responded to our Reader Survey from the Fall 2007 issue. We encourage anyone who has not responded to do so and help us plan the future of the Newsletter. Please see p. 23, or respond on-line: http://www.kwf.org/survey.html.
Lost in the Stars

A Song in Search of a Play

Weill and Anderson wrote the song “Lost in the Stars” for Ulysses Africanus, a musical play based on Harry Stillwell Edwards’s popular Civil War novella, Eneas Africanus (1919). When the play could not be produced in the fall of 1939 because of disagreements with the rights holders and the unavailability of Bill “Bojangles” Robinson for the lead role, the authors shelved the play’s basic idea and the musical numbers. Four songs would resurface a decade later in Lost in the Stars: “Lost in the Stars,” “Forget” (as “Stay Well”), “The Place I’m Referring to Is Home” (as “The Little Gray House”), and “Lover Man” (as “Trouble Man”). In the interim, Weill and Anderson considered at least three ideas for shows that would incorporate “Lost in the Stars.”

[unknown project] (1942)

On 27 April 1942, Weill turned down a request from Marc Blitzstein, who wanted to program “Lost in the Stars” in a concert. Weill wrote, “Anderson has a definite idea now how to write that musical play for which the song ‘The Stars’ was written.” The same day, Weill wrote to Lenya, “I had the idea that it would be wonderful to take the finest negro [sic] entertainers (singers, dancers etc.) and do a negro musical like Porgy, but the whole thing about the negro question; the whole problem of race oppression combined with great entertainment.”

Street Scene (1945)

In early August 1945, Weill considered Maxwell Anderson for the lyrics to Street Scene, and the two tried to work in “Lost in the Stars” and “The Little Gray House” (both would have been sung by Henry, the janitor). The song “Forget” would have been a duet for Rose and Sam, following Sam’s recitation of Walt Whitman’s “Lilacs” poem. But Elmer Rice appears to have been uncomfortable with Anderson—his colleague in the Playwrights’ Company—as lyricist for the project, and shortly thereafter Weill and Rice engaged Langston Hughes.

“Spaceship” (1947)

In July 1947, Weill and Anderson were discussing the idea of a spaceship musical, but the exact nature of the theme was in flux: at one point, Weill reduced the theme to time travel, foreshadowing an idea for Love Life; Anderson thought of a play with only a few songs, where four youngsters who “don’t know what to do with their lives” would live in an apartment in Manhattan, and an African-American cook would sing “Lost in the Stars” as the opening number.
Pre-1949 Recordings

Before the Broadway show ever opened, three distinguished singers had recorded “Lost in the Stars.”

Lotte Lenya (1943) for Bost Records
Walter Huston (1944) for Decca
Frank Sinatra (1946) for Columbia

On 27 November 1943, Weill wrote to Cheryl Crawford, “Gadg [Elia Kazan] is here and energetically involved with the current project. I am taking my cue from him and arranging six songs for L[enya].” Manuscripts for some of these arrangements are held in the Weill-Lenya Papers at Yale, but not “Lost in the Stars.” The album cover is shown above.

25 Selected Recordings

1956  Sarah Vaughan
1956  Tony Bennett
1959  Abbey Lincoln
1961  Dick Hyman
1962  Sammy Davis, Jr.
1962  Gerry Mulligan Quartet
1963  Vic Damone
1964  Lena Horne
1964  Judy Garland
1965  André Previn
1966  Billy Eckstine
1967  Leonard Nimoy
1971  Kenny Burrell
1977  Patti Austin
1978  Anita O’Day
1982  Jane Ira Bloom
1985  Ben Sidran
1985  Carla Bley w/Phil Woods
1989  Sheila Jordan
1990  Bud Shank
1992  Dave McKenna
1993  Patti LuPone
1994  Elvis Costello
2002  Dee Dee Bridgewater
2004  Patricia O’Callaghan
In December 1947, Maxwell Anderson returned to the U.S. from a trip to Europe. Aboard the ship happened to be lyricist Oscar Hammerstein II and his wife. Dorothy Hammerstein had read Alan Paton’s forthcoming novel, *Cry, the Beloved Country*, to be published by Scribner’s in February 1948, and highly recommended it to Anderson for dramatization, even though (or because) her husband was not sure whether it could be adapted for the stage. On 1 March 1948 she gave Anderson a copy of the book, and the next day the playwright decided to adapt it as a musical with Weill.

Maxwell Anderson, letter to Alan Paton, 15 March 1948

“I hope I can convey to you, at so great a distance, something of the emotion with which I read *Cry, the Beloved Country* and which many Americans must feel now as they read it. For years I’ve wanted to write something which would state the position and perhaps illuminate the tragedy of our own negroes. Now that I’ve read your story I think you have said as much as can be said both for your country and ours.”

Weill describes the creative process in letters to his parents:

“I’m deep into work on my new play; almost every day I go into town to see actors and singers and to work with the director. I work on the orchestral score in the morning before I leave the house and in the evening after I get back. We’re having great difficulties finding an actor for the leading role . . . It is a very difficult part and until now we have not found anyone who would be good enough; but we hope to find him soon, since we want to start rehearsals in four weeks.” (11 July 1949)

“Yesterday I finished the musical composition and now I’m working under high pressure to finish as much of the orchestration as possible before rehearsals start on 19 September. The play’s new title is ‘Lost in the Stars’ . . . which also is the most important vocal piece. All summer long we have worked on the casting of this play. It has been extremely difficult and sometimes we thought we would have to give the whole thing up, because we couldn’t find the right interpreters. But now, after all these efforts, we have an excellent cast, with the famous Negro baritone Todd Duncan in the leading role and the famous English actor Leslie Banks as the lead white character, along with a number of very good male and female Negro singers.” (6 September 1949)

*Lost in the Stars* opened at the Music Box Theater on 30 October 1949, directed by Rouben Mamoulian and conducted by Maurice Levine.
The Music Critics

Virgil Thomson, New York Herald Tribune (13 November 1949)

“Not quite so incidental is the composer’s contribution to Lost in the Stars. Here there are solos, choruses, all sorts of set pieces. And they have form; they are ‘numbers.’ Even the passages of dialogue that separate them have a beginning, a middle and an ending. The whole spectacle is therefore a series of forms, some spoken and some sung; and the sequence of these makes a continuity, too, a narrative nowhere lacking in variety or in movement toward its goal. It is not, however, either purely or chiefly a musical narrative. It is a play with musical numbers, a singspiel. Whether you ‘like’ Mr. Weill’s numbers or not (I personally find the tunes weak but their scoring masterful), their relation to the play is a model of procedure. His music does all the right things at all the right times. Its layout is perfection. So is its performance, by the way.”

Olin Downes, letter to Weill, 9 December 1949

“It’s awfully interesting to me to see your hand getting firmer with each thing you are doing, and your new treatments and forms and new technical resources. It is wonderful that you’ve got such an opera over on the stage. This work, and the Street Scene, will be among the most significant steps which have so far been taken both to modernize and to popularize the operatic principle, and say something worth while in the artistic sense. But I am still waiting for the day when you get exactly the subject which you can treat without the faintest consideration of public taste or expediency of any sort, while in the meantime you are constantly developing a reputation for making it more and more possible for you to do exactly what you want to do ultimately in the musical field.”

Weill’s response to Downes, 14 December 1949

“I was greatly interested in what you have to say about the formality of the song-form, because you have hit here on one of the basic problems of our musical theatre. It must be somewhat surprising indeed to find a serious subject treated in a form which (in this country at least) has been used so far only for a lighter form of entertainment. But that was exactly the nature of my experiment—to do a ‘musical tragedy’ for the American theatre so that the typical American audience (not a specialized audience) can accept it, and the real success of the piece to me is the fact that the audience did accept it without hesitation, that they accepted a lot of very serious, tragic, quite un-Broadway-ish music of operatic dimensions, together with some songs written in a more familiar style. Personally I don’t feel that this represents a compromise because it seems to me that the American popular song, growing out of the American folk-music, is the basis of an American musical theatre (just as the Italian song was the basis of Italian opera), and that in this early state of the development, and considering the audiences we are writing for, it is quite legitimate to use the form of the popular song and gradually fill it with new musical content. But I do agree with you that this infiltration of song in the musical theatre will gradually become more refined and more removed from its origins [sic].”

The Drama Critics

Howard Barnes, New York Herald Tribune (31 October 1949)

“A soaring musical tragedy has opened at the Music Box. Lost in the Stars has excitement, flavor, heart and a stern authority. Maxwell Anderson has adapted Alan Paton’s fine novel, Cry, the Beloved Country, with immense skill and fidelity. Kurt Weill has composed a beautifully integrated score, which makes dynamic use of both solo and ensemble numbers, while Rouben Mamoulian has staged the offering superbly. Since Todd Duncan, Leslie Banks, and their colleagues give every inflection to a work of true dimensions, there is virtually nothing wanting in Lost in the Stars. It is a harrowing theatrical experience, but one of deep satisfaction.

Anderson faced a stupendous job in translating a tale of Negroes and whites in South Africa to terms of a libretto and lyrics. He has handled it magnificently. Interlacing fragments of straight melodrama and moving drama with songs which continually add to the sense and momentum of the action, he has captured the full essence of the original in striking stage patterns. The singing ensemble acts as a sort of Greek chorus, while individual scenes are spotted rapidly in George Jenkins’s flexible and imaginative settings. The chief point is that the tragedy of the Negro minister, who discovers that his son has killed the greatest white benefactor of his race, is never lost sight of in the complexities of the mounting. The show moves to its climax inexorably and with a tremendous crescendo.

Duncan was the perfect choice for Stephen Kumalo, the Zulu man of God who typifies the agony of his race. He sings such numbers as ‘Thousands of Miles,’ ‘The Little Gray House,’ or ‘Lost in the Stars’ with rich effect, but he is equally splendid in the dramatic passages which lead him from his small village in Ndotsheni to Johannesburg only to find his simple faith shaken to its foundations. . . .

Weill’s music is not overly distinguished, but it has some lovely melodies and stirring dirges which are perfectly supplementary to the book. When the chorus, led by Frank Roane, intones ‘Train to Johannesburg,’ ‘Fear’ or ‘Cry, the Beloved Country’ an engrossing theatrical symphony is performed at the Music Box. Miss Matthews has several fine songs, notably ‘Stay Well’; little Herbert Coleman is

“My companion wept almost uncontrollably throughout the evening. So did the woman next to her. She, too, was moved, and deeply moved, by Lost in the Stars. . . . Indeed, one person has already reported that she was made so happily unhappy by Lost in the Stars that she felt like dropping in at Death of a Salesman just to cheer herself up.”

—John Mason Brown, Saturday Review (26 November 1949)
enchanting in ‘The Mole’ and there is a hot number in a Shantytown dive called ‘Who’ll Buy,’ which gives variety to the musical texture.

The supporting players are all so good that it is difficult to give credit to more than a few. Warren Coleman is savagely right as the minister’s venal brother who runs a tobacco shop in Johannesburg, William Greaves is fine as a petty thief who involves Absalom Kumalo in a killing and Sheila Guyse is extraordinarily effective as a Negro trollop. That they all participate in Lost in the Stars with no confusion is, of course, largely due to Mamoulian’s inspired staging. There is rhythm, surging movement and an immaculate pace in this Playwrights’ Company production. It does great honor to the season.”

Brooks Atkinson, New York Times (31 October 1949)

“Mr. Paton’s novel is an epic in which the currents of racial hatred run deep and strong—so thoroughly a work of literary art that one might well hesitate to try transforming it into the art of the stage. Let it be said at once that Mr. Anderson and Mr. Weill have not transformed it with obvious difficulty. They have to be literal and skimming in the narrative where the novel is allusive and rich. There are spots where ‘Lost in the Stars’ is patchy. People who have not fallen under the sublime spell of the novel may not fully appreciate the multitudinous forces that are running headlong through this tragic story. But Mr. Anderson has the taste and the integrity to know the quality of the material he is working with. . . . Being perhaps in a hurry, Mr. Anderson writes a good many of the early scenes sketchily, but when he comes to the overwhelming climax of this terrible tragedy he takes the time and has the words to write a grand and enlightening scene with unadorned beauty. . . .

Although the novel had more detail than Mr. Anderson has space for in the theatre, the novel did not have Mr. Weill’s music. And here the theatre has come bearing its most memorable gifts. In the past Mr. Weill has given the theatre some fine scores. But at the moment, which is forty minutes after the final curtain, it is difficult to remember anything out of his portfolio as eloquent as this richly orchestrated singing music. Some of it is as artless as a Broadway song. But most of it is overflowing with the same compassion Mr. Paton brought to his novel.”

31 October 1949, the day after the opening, Maxwell Anderson noted in his diary: “Victor [Samrock] called, spoke of a line at the Music Box. To the office at 11:30 — to discuss our policy with the play . . . . Kurt, Rouben, and I drove to the Music Box to see what went on. A line stretching to the Piccadilly soda fountain. Wonderful.”

Walter White (Executive Secretary of the NAACP), New York Herald Tribune (6 November 1949)

“[Lost in the Stars] is both infinitely moving in its beauty and in its courage in picturing human waste and tragedy as basic as did Death of a Salesman. . . . Its story of the decay of the human spirit and body caused by prejudice and fear of the Malan government in the Union of South Africa is especially applicable to current awakening to the global universality of racial and religious bigotry whether it be under Hitler in Germany or Talmadge in Georgia or the Dutch in Indonesia or Malan in Johannesburg. You will find no off-color humor or burlesque wiggling of torsos in Lost in the Stars to titillate the senses of out-of-town buyers. Instead, you will find human dignity which can conquer tragedy, incomparable music and acting of such excellence that your evening at New York’s Music Box Theater will be one you will never forget.”
Trouble on the Road

When *Lost in the Stars* closed after 281 performances on Broadway, the production went on the road and soon faced a dilemma: whether or not to play in segregated theaters. On the one hand, the company wanted to spread the show’s call for racial equality to the largest possible audience; on the other, it did not wish to support segregation. Decisions were made on a case-by-case basis. For example, *Lost in the Stars* played in St. Louis but boycotted Baltimore’s Ford Theatre, where the local branch of the NAACP had urged the company not to perform: “While our boys are dying for Democracy in Korea we can make no less courageous a fight for Democracy at home” (letter from Lillie Jackson to the “Cast of Lost in the Stars,” 25 September 1950). Tour manager Ben Rosenberg shared a hotel suite with Todd Duncan in Omaha, because the hotel did not rent suites to African-Americans (letter from Rosenberg to Samrock, 25 October 1950).

---

The Command Performance That Wasn’t: *Lost in the Stars at the White House*

On 2 February 1950, the National Conference of Christians and Jews awarded its annual Brotherhood Award to the authors of *Lost in the Stars*. In addition, the organization collaborated with White House staff on plans for a “command performance” of *Lost in the Stars* with President Truman in attendance. The dates discussed were 12 February 1950, Lincoln’s birthday (also commemorated as Race Relations Day), and 20 February 1950, for the beginning of the annual Brotherhood Week. It appears that political concerns caused these plans to be abandoned.

---

Road Tour (14 weeks)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>City</th>
<th>Theatre</th>
<th>Dates</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>San Francisco</td>
<td>Curran Theatre</td>
<td>7 Aug–2 Sep. 1950</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Los Angeles</td>
<td>Philharmonic Auditorium</td>
<td>4–30 Sep. 1950</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Omaha</td>
<td>Omaha Theatre</td>
<td>3–4 Oct. 1950</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kansas City, Mo.</td>
<td>Music Hall</td>
<td>5–7 Oct. 1950</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>St. Louis</td>
<td>American Theatre</td>
<td>9–15 Oct. 1950</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Louisville</td>
<td>Memorial Auditorium</td>
<td>16–17 Oct. 1950</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cincinnati</td>
<td>Taft Auditorium</td>
<td>20–22 Oct. 1950</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Columbus</td>
<td>Hartman Theatre</td>
<td>24–28 Oct. 1950</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chicago</td>
<td>Great Northern Theatre</td>
<td>30 Oct–11 Nov. 1950</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Edward Brinkmann (stage manager) in St. Louis, letter to Victor Samrock (business manager of the Playwrights’ Company) in New York, 14 October 1950

“All is quiet on our Western front. (Or should I say Southern front?) Everybody in St. Louis seems to hate the Negro. It’s taken the better part of this week to get the kids and cast to act normal even with us whites with the Company. Todd has just been wonderful. . . . I went out to the negro sections on a few parties with members of the cast. I found that they will not sit up in the so-called “Negro heaven” [i.e., the balcony]. They that can will see it in Chicago. The rest just won’t cross that picket line. Even though they really are dying to see it.”

---

Maxwell Anderson, diary entry, 24 January 1950

“The Command Performance idea received long and careful consideration by the President and his staff. The decision today is negative. I can tell you some of the complications when I see you. They are international as well as national! Nor can the President come to New York this season for the play. The whole matter is, of course, confidential.”

---

Maxwell Anderson, diary entry, 28 January 1950

“Letter from Clinchy, the command perf. is off. . . . Called Kurt and told him.”

---

Maxwell Anderson, diary entry, 24 January 1950


---

Everett Clinchy (President, National Conference of Christians and Jews), letter to John L. Sullivan (former Secretary of the Navy), 6 January 1950

“I had many shipboard conversations with playwright Maxwell Anderson urging that the American stage deal with the problems of intergroup relations . . . . Later, in New York, Mr. Anderson and Oscar Hammerstein helped the National Conference to interest Thornton Wilder, Bob Sherwood, S.N. Behrman, Elmer Rice, and directors and composers Elia Kazan, Irving Berlin, Kurt Weill, Dick Rodgers, and others in the idea. One evening we had historian Arnold Toynbee stir up the minds of many of these stage leaders. The Anderson–Weill musical play *Lost in the Stars* is a direct result of these sessions.”
NEW YORK, Friday—Wednesday night was a very pleasant one for me, for after dinner at a little restaurant where the food was excellent we went to see “Lost in the Stars.” Perhaps I should say “hear” as well as “see.”

This play, written by Maxwell Anderson, is based on Alan Paton’s novel, “Cry My Beloved Country.” The production was directed and supervised by Rouben Mamoulian and he has done a most extraordinary job of stage setting. The sets merely suggest the situation and leave so much to your imagination that you can really hold the atmosphere of the play much more clearly than if he had attempted to put it realistically before you.

I did not think I would ever like Todd Duncan better than I liked him in “Porgy and Bess,” but he is really wonderful in this. So is Leslie Banks, who plays Mr. Duncan’s opposite number as the white man. When Mr. Duncan made his speech about the white race in South Africa I almost thought I was listening to a speech made in the Paris General Assembly last year in Committee Three by one of the delegates from that country.

One cannot single out any one actor for praise, however, because they were all so good, even the children. I think they do their parts with a real love of the production.

Maxwell Anderson has written some wonderful things for this play. The boy’s confession in the court room is deeply moving, and the sentence by the judge is something that gives one pause.

Is there such a thing as justice possible when it is administered by human beings, no matter how carefully you adhere to the letter of the law?

There was no doubt in your mind as to which human being had a chance to be of value if he was allowed to live and I think people in whose country capital punishment is not permitted would have had a happier time than I had.

Which one of us can say that a human being should die or should live? Still, I have always felt that a life sentence was almost more cruel than death. But I suppose that as long as a man is alive, there is hope that he may someday be free.

Irina, the girl who loved Absalom, would certainly rather have kept him alive. And I have seen many a mother begging the Governor of the State of New York, or his representative, for the life of her son, who would have accepted a sentence of life imprisonment in preference to the death sentence.

The music in the play is haunting. Todd Duncan’s song, “Thousands of Miles,” and his prayer and “The Little Grey House” still stay in my mind along with many others. Perhaps reading the book, which gives a more complete picture of the whole problem, will make it difficult for some people to enjoy the play. But I was grateful for the beauty of the play, for its tragedy and the inescapable problem that it sets before us so vividly.

E.R.

Reprinted with the kind permission of Nancy Roosevelt Ireland.
The original cast recording for *Lost in the Stars* consisted of six 78-rpm records. Selected images from the album presented to Eleanor Roosevelt are shown on these pages: the cover; the first album sleeve, which contains nearly all of the signatures; and a detail showing Todd Duncan’s signature. Weill and Anderson placed their dedication in the upper left quadrant, while Todd Duncan signed boldly near the top center. Look for director Rouben Mamoulian, conductor Maurice Levine, and Robert McFerrin (Bobby’s father) near the record label. Other notables: Leslie Banks (bottom right), Julian Mayfield (bottom left quadrant), and Inez Matthews (just below and to the left of Mamoulian).
Later Life

1958, New York City Opera

The City Opera broke new ground with a special five-week season of American operas in 1958, underwritten by a grant from the Ford Foundation. Music Director Julius Rudel winnowed a group of two hundred operas to ten, which were presented in April and May. They were *The Old Maid and the Thief* and *The Medium* (Gian-Carlo Menotti), *The Ballad of Baby Doe* (Douglas Moore), *The Good Soldier Schweik* (Robert Kurka), *Tale for a Deaf Ear* (Mark Bucci), *Trouble in Tahiti* (Leonard Bernstein), *Lost in the Stars*, *The Taming of the Shrew* (Vittorio Giannini), *Regina* (Marc Blitzstein), and *Susannah* (Carlisle Floyd). *Lost in the Stars* featured Shirley Verrett as Irina and Louis Gossett, Jr. as Absalom; Godfrey Cambridge and a young Patti Austin played minor roles. Although several reviewers doubted that *Lost in the Stars* qualified as opera, its partisans included respected critics Harriett Johnson and Robert Coleman.

Director, José Quintero; conductor, Gino Smart; Lawrence Winters played Stephen Kumalo.

1972, Imperial Theatre (Broadway)

The only Broadway revival of any of Weill’s American shows ran 39 performances in April–May 1972. After tryouts in Boston and Washington, D.C., the show made its return to Broadway on 18 April. Critical reception this time was quite favorable, and a number of well-known names took part: sets by Oliver Smith; music direction by Lehman Engel (replaced by Karen Gustafson just before the Broadway opening); and cast members such as Rod Perry, Rosetta LeNoire, Damon Evans, and Giancarlo Esposito, in addition to Brock Peters in the lead role. Clive Barnes of the *Times* reserved his superlatives for Weill’s “score of magisterial sweep. There are times when the music soars up in lyric splendor, and others when it underpins the dramatic action with subtlety and occasionally even wit” (19 April 1972).

Director, Gene Frankel; conductor, Karen Gustafson; Brock Peters played Stephen Kumalo.

1974, American Film Theatre

Veteran producer Ely Landau conceived a series of film adaptations of modern plays and musicals in the early 1970s known as American Film Theatre. Most of the resulting films were screened at theaters across the U.S., with proceeds benefiting the Martin Luther King Foundation. Many major stars participated, among them John Gielgud, Katharine Hepburn, Lee Marvin, Alan Bates, and Judi Dench. *Lost in the Stars*, a personal favorite of Landau’s, was one of only two musicals immortalized; the other was *Jacques Brel Is Alive and Well and Living in Paris*. The all-star cast included Brock Peters, Melba Moore, and Clifton Davis. The complete series of fourteen dramas has been issued on DVD by Kino Video (*Lost in the Stars* is catalogue number K293 DVD).

Director, Daniel Mann; musical supervisor, Alex North; Brock Peters played Stephen Kumalo.

Some Other Theatrical Revivals

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Production Details</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1952</td>
<td>Oslo Folketeatret (Norwegian premiere): director, Hans Jacob Nilsen and Johan Borgen; conductor, Sverre Bergh; Stephen, Ola Isene</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1961</td>
<td>Opernhaus Nürnberg (German premiere, translation by Lys Symonette): director, Karlheinz Streibing; conductor, Max Loy; Stephen, Leonardo Wolovsky</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1964</td>
<td>Munich, Cuvilliéstheater: director, Heinz Rosen; conductor Daniel Stimm, Stephen, William Ray</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1986</td>
<td>New Haven, Long Wharf Theatre: director, Arvin Brown; conductor, Tom Fay; Stephen, Michael V. Smartt</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1992</td>
<td>Boston Lyric Opera: director, Bill T. Jones; conductor, Christopher Larkin; Stephen, Robert Honeysucker</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1994</td>
<td>Tel Aviv, Habimah Theatre (Israeli premiere, Hebrew translation by Ehud Manor): director, Uri Paster; conductor, Rafi Kadishsohn; Stephen, Yossi Pollak</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1998</td>
<td>Roopepoort City Opera (South African premiere): director, Mark Graham; conductor, Weiss Doubell; Stephen, Patrick Shabalala</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2001</td>
<td>Opéra-Comédie de Montpellier (French premiere): director, Didier Kersten; conductor, Michael Dian; Stephen, Nicolas Volland</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2007</td>
<td>St. Paul, Skylark Opera: director, Randy Winkler; conductor, Steve Stucki; Stephen, Kenneth Overton</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2008</td>
<td>Pittsburgh Opera/Virginia Arts Festival: director, Jonathan Eaton; conductor, Julius Rudel; Stephen, Herbert Perry (reviewed on p. 22)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Books

Handbuch des Musicals: Die wichtigsten Titel von A bis Z

Thomas Siedhoff


The musicals craze that Germany experienced in the 1990s belongs to the past. The sense of a “gold rush” has subsided, and a phase of consolidation appears to have set in. Now that audiences are more familiar with the genre, it seems to be well on the way to taking its rightful place in the world of musical theater. By the same token, musicology and theater departments have begun to get past their ignorance and condescension in favor of an unbiased view and increased scholarly activity. Thomas Siedhoff, who has been dealing with the subject for many years both as academic and practitioner (dramaturg), has published a sizeable handbook. It is addressed less to the aficionado than to university students or dramaturgs who are looking for a handy reference and easy to use. Siedhoff adheres to two principles: he collects and relays as much information as possible, and he selects those musicals whose qualities transcend the show’s initial popularity. His selection reflects both depth of knowledge and assured judgment. In addition to the American repertoire from The Black Crook (1866) to Spamanlot (2005) he also includes a number of French, Italian, and Russian titles. The volume’s foreword (set in minuscule type, alas) contains a short introduction to the German musical. Discussions of individual German shows, including historical ones, in the volume’s main body supplement this section. For Weill, entries can be found not only for his American works but also for Die Dreigroschenoper and Happy End.

Not entirely convincing is Siedhoff’s decision to place three more general sections within his alphabetically arranged compilation. The “Anthologies” section describes a number of jukebox musicals (e.g., ‘An’t Misbehavin’). “Operetta adaptations” contains only three titles. Here, at least The Mikado should have found its way into the book—a work that saw not just one but several adaptations (most notably the Hot Mikado with an all-black cast). And it would have been nice if Siedhoff had managed, perhaps using The Merry Widow as an example, to highlight the influence of European operetta on American musical theater, as evinced by numerous American adaptations in the early twentieth century. Siedhoff devotes his third interpolated section to the revue, where he provides an overview of German, British, American, and French productions that he also discusses in brief. In this he breaks new ground, for previous German-language guides to the musical have hardly touched on revues.

Siedhoff’s entries for individual shows provide a wealth of detail. Not only do we get information about the authors, original cast, literary models, American and European premieres, and musical numbers, but Siedhoff’s practical experience leads him to list requirements for casting and orchestral forces. Furthermore, he provides information about performance materials, editions, recordings, and secondary literature. In addition to a detailed synopsis, Siedhoff adds a fact-laden “commentary,” where he delivers more information about the piece, its peculiarities, cultural position, and impact. Here, he likes to go beyond the role of objective chronicler and takes the opportunity to express his personal views. An extensive appendix lists chronologically every title covered in the alphabetical entries and categorizes them according to themes and subjects; it also offers a section on dance in musicals, a glossary of the most important terms, and groups the musicals—somewhat arbitrarily—according to genre.

The abundance if not surfeit of information that Siedhoff includes in his handbook costs him when it comes to precision. A single-author encyclopedic work leads almost inevitably to errors and blunders. Merely thumbing through the volume gives one the impression that a thorough editing job would have improved the book a good deal, by tightening and refining its hastily written prose or correcting minor errors and inaccuracies. Random cases in point: “Berlin’s satire Of Thee I Sing” (p. 324) was composed by Gershwin, of course (and is so identified in the full entry on p. 409); the director of the film musical Shall We Dance (1937) was not Stanley Donen but Mark Sandrich (p. 300); the premiere of Street Scene was directed not by Rouben Mamoulian but by Charles Friedman (p. 581); the German translation, “Verrücktes Mädchen,” for Girl Crazy is incorrect (p. 229); the music of Philip Glass and Steve Reich cannot be called “serial” (p. 592); and the composer Adam Guettel’s name undergoes a Germanified respelling, Gütel (p. 683). Finally, the index omits Kurt Weill, of all people.

In his commendable desire to convey his encyclopedic knowledge, which includes some remote areas, Siedhoff gets carried away at times. No matter how apt his observation that the song “Cellophane” from Chicago is a parody of Bert Williams’s “Nobody,” few readers will be able to make sense of this comparison. What German reader will be familiar with the melancholy black comedian from the Ziegfeld Follies? (If one searches the index for Bert Williams and checks the reference on p. 268, the “Williams” named on that page is neither Bert Williams nor any other Williams; the context reveals that it could only be a misprint for Thornton Wilder.) For some books, we look forward hopefully to a second revised edition. This book is certainly a candidate. For the time being, the title of a show tune expresses its current state: “It needs work.”
Books

The Rest Is Noise: Listening to the Twentieth Century

Alex Ross

ISBN: 978-0-374-24939-7

Is there anyone who remains unaware of Alex Ross’s much reviewed and ballyhooed The Rest Is Noise: Listening to the Twentieth Century? Surely the most widely read and acclaimed chronicle of music in this period, The Rest Is Noise won the 2007 National Book Critics Circle Award (it was also a finalist for the 2008 Pulitzer for General Non-Fiction) and appeared on the most influential “top ten” lists of 2007 (New York Times, Washington Post, Los Angeles Times, Time, The Economist, Slate, and Newsweek). Only an endorsement from Oprah’s Book Club still eludes him.

Lest this review become Exhibit A for one of the many trends Ross traces in his book—the tendency of academics to shun art music that is also popular—let me state for the record that The Rest Is Noise richly deserves all the attention. While it has certainly benefited from a massive marketing campaign, the likes of which no academic press could ever hope to deploy, it lives up to the hype. It is provocative, original, entertaining, and eminently readable.

The chapter devoted to “Berlin in the Twenties” is entitled “City of Nets,” in homage to Rise and Fall of the City of Mahagonny. It is engagingly written as an overview for non-experts. Ross treats the usual suspects (Hindemith, Weill, Schoenberg, Krenek, Eisler, and Brecht), noting that “there was something bracingly un-German about this new German talent” (p. 182). He acknowledges the importance of Busoni not only for Weill but for the era, calling him “a magus-like musician who hovered over the early twentieth century like a spider in his web” (p. 187). He gamely takes on Weill’s and Brecht’s notions of Gestus (pp. 187–90) before discussing The Threepenny Opera, in which the two “produced ‘art of the people’ that the people heard and liked” (p. 190). Eisler receives more attention than usual in English-language accounts of this period, some of it in memorable turns of phrase (“the terrorist chic of Eisler’s The Measures Taken,” p. 527). Ross has clearly done his homework. For example, he quotes prominent scholar Stephen Hinton (p. 192), but this is one of innumerable instances (hundreds?) in which a direct quotation is not footnoted.

Yes, the absence of citations is a concession to general readers that an academic press could ever hope to deploy, it lives up to the hype. It is provocative, original, entertaining, and eminently readable.

The book does not always progress in strict chronological order, a flexibility that permits Ross to track the afterlives of some of these works. In an effort to honor the importance of Lenya’s American performing career for Weill’s posthumous reputation, he reports that she made an indelible impression on Bob Dylan when he saw her in the revue Brecht on Brecht in 1962. It is clear from the song list and set description recounted in Dylan’s Chronicles, however, that the production he saw was actually The Threepenny Opera. Furthermore, Dylan does not mention Lenya at all, presumably because she was no longer in the cast by the time he saw the show. Fortunately, that miscue does not negate his trenchant point about the importance of the Weill/Brecht collaboration for the young songwriters. “In the spirit of Brecht and Weill, Dylan proceeded to carve his own Gestus-like phrases into the minds of late-twentieth-century listeners: ‘The answer is blowin’ in the wind,’ ‘A hard rain’s a-gonna fall,’ ‘the times they are a-changin’. ’ The last was a direct quotation from one of Brecht’s lyrics for Hanns Eisler. The spirit of Berlin played on” (pp. 193–94). This embodies a major narrative theme of the book, which is the cross-pollination of art and popular music; in fact, in the epilogue Ross posits that “[O]ne possible destination for twenty-first-century music is a final ‘great fusion’: intelligent pop artists and extroverted composers speaking more or less the same language” (p. 542). Weill aficionados will note with pride that he saw this possibility early on; it is a point Ross pursues to great effect throughout.

“The City of Nets” is in Part I, which covers the period 1900–1933. The portions devoted to Mahler, Debussy, Schoenberg and Stravinsky tend to hew closely to the standard accounts of their historical positions, but the prominent role Sibelius plays in Ross’s account lies far outside those narratives. In a chapter devoted entirely to the Finnish composer, Ross places the “Apparition from the Woods” in opposition to “The City of Nets.” Ross argues that Sibelius, who is often said to have outlived his compositional era, actually ranks among the most influential voices of the century. He compellingly connects the dots between an extraordinary array of musicians who cite Sibelius’s “antimodern modernism” (p. 176) as both important and formative. These range from Brian Ferneyhough, Peter Maxwell Davies, and John Adams to Kaija Saariaho and Morton Feldman. Viewing twentieth-century music history through the lens of Sibelius sheds new light on what had begun to feel like all-too-familiar territory.
Ross really hits his stride once he clears the hurdle of 1945, in Part III. In a virtuosic display of erudition and unabashed enthusiasm he elucidates connections between “Bop, Rock, and the Minimalists,” devotes an entire chapter to Benjamin Britten (still performed too rarely in the United States), provides keen insight into the music of composers in post-Soviet regions, and posits that the “center of [art music] gravity may shift permanently eastward” with the rise of China as a major figure in the industry (p. 519). One of the fascinating trends that emerges in chapter 15, “Sunken Cathedrals: Music at Century’s End,” is the return of opera as a significant genre after its relative neglect throughout much of the postwar period. In the last fifteen pages Ross invokes Stockhausen’s massive seven-opera cycle Licht, Glass’s Einstein on the Beach, Lachenmann’s The Little Match Girl, Desyatnikov’s Rosenthal’s Children, and Schnittke’s Historia von D. Johann Fausten, culminating in a detailed account of Adams’s Nixon in China. (Conspicuously absent is the popular American composer Jake Heggie, whose opera Dead Man Walking has been performed to worldwide acclaim.) If we are truly entering a new golden age of opera, particularly opera that partakes of both art and popular traditions, it will come as welcome news to Weill scholars.

Above I wrote that The Rest Is Noise is provocative, original, entertaining, and eminently readable. Those are not adjectives I use to describe the textbooks I normally deal with as a professor in a school of music. Maybe the necessary evil of chronological surveys does not lend itself to the kind of writing or thinking Ross offers here, but why shouldn’t it? Granted it is not a textbook and could not substitute for one (although it could make an excellent companion volume in a music history course). The twentieth century was action-packed, yet no textbook I know even begins to convey the palpable excitement that animates Ross’s prose, particularly in Part III. Perhaps the greatest compliment I can offer is the testimony that it inspired me to seek out music I did not know. If it does the same for other readers, Ross has done an invaluable service to the music of the past century.

Joy H. Calico
Vanderbilt University

Joseph Horowitz is what Morris Dickstein calls a “double agent,” a public intellectual who addresses a large audience with complex ideas. His seven books and frequent lectures on musical culture combine high intelligence with strong, sometimes controversial stances. His books have staying power: Conversations with Arrau, from 1982, continues to be one of the most original and subtle portraits of a great artist from the past thirty years. In Artists in Exile, Horowitz takes on his biggest subject to date, the interchange between Old and New World culture in the careers of émigrés. Rather than limiting himself to music, theater, film, acting, or dance, he takes on all of them, touching on mathematicians and scientists as well.

As in his previous works, Horowitz paints vivid portraits that linger in the mind. These émigrés are not just objects of analysis but three-dimensional characters in a tumultuous cultural drama. Most memorable are Stokowski in Philadelphia, “a hypnotic podium presence enforced by icy blue eyes” (p. 178); Schoenberg in Los Angeles, his “ferocious reputation . . . supported by scowling photographs evoking Boris Karloff in suit and tie” (p. 114);
Mitropoulos in New York, a “Dr. Caligari of the podium, clawing the air with huge hands, clenching his anchoretic features into a demonic gargoyele” (p. 106); Serkin at the keyboard, his “wire-rim glasses, balding pate, angular limbs, and worried expression” creating “an impression of compelling proibity” (p. 87). These personalities burst from the page in blazing color, and Horowitz once again rescues classical music from the dull writing that is surely one reason for its marginalization.

This book convincingly shows that German émigrés such as Murnau, Hindemith, and Krenek had the toughest time adjusting because they retained a cultural identity too strong to exchange for New World sensibilities. Some, like Toch and Zemlinsky, became lost souls, dying in obscurity. Russians, with their polyglot culture, stood a far better chance of creating a productive dialectic with the New World, especially when the art in question was not an entrenched American form. Balanchine, the epitome of this pattern, emerges as the book’s triumphant figure, an innovator who created dance as a vital contemporary American art “frequently vitalized—as symphony and opera are not—by important new work” (p. 44). Others who succeeded were colorful iconoclasts who loved reinventing themselves, most notably Varèse, a “tidal force” who transcended “mummified” European models to find an authentically noisy American voice (p. 174).

American composers, ironically, found that voice elusive. Blinded by “an incurable Eurocentrism,” they looked up to German émigrés as their models rather than Gottschalk, Ives, jazz, and slave song (p. 215). In a further irony, such European composers Delius who tapped unreservedly into black culture created enduring New World art.

Kurt Weill’s refusal to be part of the “German colonization project”—his unstinting embrace of American culture—should make him a hero of this book, but Horowitz sides with detractors of American Weill. “A battered and worldly irony—a creative and resilient product of European suffering—is what makes the Brecht/Weill pieces matter,” he argues, judging Weill’s Broadway works a bland comedown, a series of collaborative compromises where even irony became “an innocuous diversion” (p. 156). One wonders how far battering and world-weariness can be taken, and why Weill, of all people, would want to continue in one mode indefinitely. Given his dramatic advocacy of jazz in Berlin, his embrace of Broadway should not be surprising. He was always a quick-change artist: like Whitman, his favorite American poet, he lived in the “eternal Now”; like Huckleberry Finn, whose saga he was celeb- change artist: like Whitman, his favorite American poet, he lived in infinitely . Given his dramatic advocacy of jazz in Berlin, his embrace why Weill, of all people, would want to continue in one mode indef-initely . Given his dramatic advocacy of jazz in Berlin, his embrace why Weill, of all people, would want to continue in one mode indef-

Horowitz is as strong in his opinions as in his prose style, and some of his glummer generalizations call for a response. He puts down Billy Wilder as a shallow showman, completely missing his irony and embittered humanity; he snubs Lubitsch and Korngold as middlebrows mistaken for high, as if measuring brows is how we assess Hollywood; he states that most immigrants were “not able to sustain a full growth curve upon relocating,” as if the growth curves of Hemingway, Salinger, Welles, Tennessee Williams, Francis Ford Coppola, Woody Allen, and other non-immigrant Americans are any fuller (p. 410); he denounces America’s “culture of performance”—revisiting an important theme from his Understanding Toscanini (1987)—as if Clement, Paganini, Chopin, Liszt, and other Old World rock stars never existed (p. 409).

A less dispiriting assessment emerges if one tallies the New World influence on composers who sojourned rather than relocated in America and were not subject to the pressures of exile Horowitz so painstakingly documents. Dvorák’s American work, as Horowitz persuasively points out, is the supreme example, but one can also celebrate Vaughan Williams’s eloquent Whitman settings, Ravel’s jazzy piano concertos, Britten’s penetrating dramatizations of Melville and James, Messiaen’s piercing evocation of New World landscape, and Tippett’s soulful interpolation of spirituals and blues. The picture is also brighter if one includes some English-speaking immigrants Horowitz chooses to leave out: James Whale, who invented a new Hollywood Gothic; Hitchcock, who, like Weill managed to reach both intellectuals and the general public; Auden, who wrote some of his most memorable poetry in America and celebrated its “life of choice” in Britten’s Paul Bunyan.

Horowitz does deliver a bright coda. Despite their frustrations and setbacks, these exiles “raised the bar” and unlocked “new possibilities” in every field, paving the way for a less problematic era (p. 411): the “tensions of forced migration—exile and nostalgia—have now abated”; a Pierre Boulez or Gidon Kremer can move through the Old and New Worlds with “perfect fluidity” (p. 412); new immigrant groups, most notably the Chinese, can create cross-cultural works that are “not merely fluent but eventful, dialectical” (p. 413). And surprisingly popular: on two successive evenings last April, I saw Tan Dun’s new Piano Concerto, precisely the kind of cross-cultural work Horowitz describes, receive loud standing ovations at New York Philharmonic subscription concerts, something that rarely happens with contemporary music.

In the last pages, Horowitz quotes Soviet defecto-pianist Alexander Toradze on his success and that of his colleagues in a country they regard as uniquely open and accepting: “I can’t envision a group of performers in which 80 percent are not native-born succeeding anywhere else . . . This is something that could only happen in America” (p. 421). An exuberant artist, Toradze is the right performer to end an epic, sometimes dark drama that has a cheering final act.

Jack Sullivan
Rider University

Jack Sullivan, Director of American Studies at Rider University, is the author of New World Symphonies: How American Culture Changed European Music and Hitchcock’s Music, winner of the 2007 ASCAP-Deems Taylor Award.
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Der Silbersee: Ein Wintermärchen

Deutsches Symphonie Orchester Berlin

14–15 December 2007

A state ceremony in the home of high-brow culture: in the Berlin Philharmonic hall, seven hundred young police officers were sworn in on 14 December 2007, taking an oath to uphold the Grundgesetz (Basic Law) of the Federal Republic of Germany. As the season schedule would have it, this event was framed by two concert performances of Der Silbersee—the drama about the rural police officer and lottery winner Olim who puts humanity above both fear and duty—which provided a multi-layered backdrop to our own time from the collapse of the first German Republic. The subtitle, Ein Wintermärchen, borrowed from Heine, already leaves little ambiguity: Weill’s and Kaiser’s musico-theatrical pathway through duty, forgiveness, profit, exploitation, and humanity is a drama of ideas—a “German” work shaped by the political events of its day. Ingo Metzmacher integrated the work into his first Berlin season, dedicated to the “German” in music: a consciously chosen counterpoint to Hans Pfitzner’s cantata Von deutscher Seele that he had programmed for reunification day on 3 October.

Despite its rich and stylistically diverse score, Der Silbersee remains one of those works by Weill whose performance history is marked by constant compromises, partly due to the adverse political circumstances of the time—described in detail by the program notes—but also to the work’s hybrid nature, which hovers between opera, Singspiel, fairy tale, and drama of ideas. Thus, concert adaptations must find a way to manage the text, which can hardly be presented unabridged in this format. The stage and screen actor Thomas Thieme took it upon himself to prepare the spoken text presented here. In doing so, he made even deeper cuts in the dialogue than Josef Heinzelmann did in his version, captured on the 1990 Capriccio recording. This meant that the Berlin audience would fail to grasp not only Kaiser’s drama of ideas but also was left in the dark about such crucial dramatic details as the revelation that Olim shot Severin or Fennimore’s humiliation in Act Three. Likewise, the blue-blooded Baron Laur and Frau von Luber were shorn of most of their malicious and cold-blooded words and deeds. At least the hellish music of the “Schlaraffenland” duet preserved their true character.

The adaptation inevitably catapulted Weill’s score to center stage, offering Metzmacher the chance to present an emphatically symphonic interpretation that would be difficult to bring off in the theatrical remainder is left dangling. To grasp not only Kaiser’s drama of ideas meant that the Berlin audience would fail to make its way into Kerl’s interpretation of the unemployed pauper, especially near the beginning, when his performance lacked agility. Kerl was most convincing in his handling of the contrast between the revenge aria and the love duet, two numbers that, in this concert performance, occur nearly consecutively in Act Two. Christiane Oelze, who portrayed the “poor relation” Fennimore, displayed a gorgeous voice when she sang from the organ gallery in the finale of Act Three, but her lyric soprano lacked the decisive dash of aggressiveness needed for the combative tone of “Cäsars Tod.” Aside from Thomas Thieme’s pleasantly unemotional Olim and the narrator (Christian Ehrlich), who read the most important stage directions, opera singers handled all the other parts. Stephan Rügamer, as the snobbish, amusement-seeking Laur, is heard only in his third-act duet. Hanna Schwarz, veteran of many Wagnerian battles, gave a splendidly acted performance of Frau von Luber as an aging disease (perhaps not entirely in the spirit of the piece). Burkhard Ulrich’s Lottery Agent earned a special round of applause for his presentation of still-current investment advice, delivered in a devil’s costume.

After a little more than two hours, the audience thanked the performers with warm applause (though not thunderous, since the hall was only two-thirds full). Metzmacher’s interpretation achieved nearly everything possible given the format of a concert performance. But a musico-theatrical remainder is left dangling. To adopt, and adapt, the work’s final line: for the sake of those who want to go further and seek dramatic coherence, Der Silbersee will have to be brought to the boards in Berlin.

Tobias Robert Klein
University of Magdeburg
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Aufstieg und Fall der Stadt Mahagonny

Staatstheater Mainz

Premiere: 12 January 2008

It isn’t often in opera productions that a single moment onstage crystallizes the themes presented by composer and librettist. But in Aufstieg und Fall der Stadt Mahagonny at the Staatstheater Mainz, director Matthias Fontheim startled us by starkly presenting a point implicit in the plot but never overtly shown (at least not in the eight or so productions I’ve seen previously). When Jimmy Mahoney cannot pay his bills, he is of course condemned to death. During the “Crane Duet,” Jenny ties Jim up, and the crowd—the men and women of the town, Jenny’s six girls, Moses, Fatty, and Begbick—gather to watch. After the duet, all at once and without warning, they pull out automatic pistols and mow Jimmy down in a hail of gunfire. No ambiguity about some nameless, faceless government ending Jimmy’s life; it is the citizens of Mahagonny themselves who must destroy the humanity that he represents—and quickly.

The production’s strongest point was its emphasis on the corruption inherent in the founding principles of Mahagonny. The two characters most affected were Jenny and (especially) Sparbüchsenbill. Jenny, because she remains冷冷 cynical and calculating throughout—there is never a sign that Jimmy’s affection will overcome her relentless self-interest. Even more pointedly, Bill is portrayed as one whose morals are completely destroyed by greed. As the plot progresses, he emerges as Jenny’s true love thanks to their shared lust for money. He has no qualms about taking Jenny from Jim, and no regrets over Jim’s death, either. Bill and Jenny are seen canoodling at the edge of the stage during the execution and the finale, lost in their reverie of paid—for love. This unsentimental portrayal is diametrically opposed to the despair of Dale Duesing’s Billy in the 1998 Salzburg production, and most others.

The stage was dominated by a huge, multi-level, eye-shaped video screen, with the center in sharp focus and the outer portion in softer focus. Different video projections appear intermittently; the videos comment on, rather than literally portray, the evolving story. For example, stock footage of a World War II bombing run (and its aftermath) provided an explanation for the bleak hopelessness that might have led people to seek a last chance in Mahagonny. The introduction of the four lumberjacks features old-fashioned cartoon scenes of dancing farm animals, suggesting a playful innocence that would soon be blighted. And the finale was very well done—rather than the usual slogans painted on signs, the screen showed constantly morphing pictures of politicians with speech balloons containing the absurd messages (“for the freedom of the rich,” “for justice,” etc.). The morphing effect was brilliant: the more they said, the more their duplicity was underscored.

The Staatsstheater’s General Music director, American-born Catherine Rückwardt, led a musical performance that brought out many of the detailed nuances of Weill’s complex score. She handled the integration of jazz elements into the score superbly. The orchestral playing was excellent, with the unfortunate exception of the trumpeters—some ugly gaffes really stood out—but Rückwardt recovered nicely and kept the musical line moving.

The singing was generally good or even excellent. Alexander Spemann took top honors as Jimmy Mahoney; his singing was beautiful and very natural, completely free of the unneeded emoting that some singers bring to the role. Abbie Furmansky was very good as Jenny; her voice was solid and well-supported through the full range of the part, although she won’t make anyone forget Stratas at the Met or Inga Nielsen in Hamburg. In fairness, because the production presents Jenny as emotionally numbed, Furmansky has no real opportunity to sing with expression. Edith Fuhr struck a nicely nuanced note as Begbick—singing, not croaking, the music and blending smoothly with her henchmen. She exuded a slightly faded sexiness (Begbick should not be portrayed as an old crone) and wore her glamorous costumes with real style. The other three lumberjacks did well vocally and dramatically; Frank Dolphin Wong was especially strong as a last-minute replacement in the role of Trinity Moses. The role of the “Speaker” was played in the style of a nightclub emcee, introducing each scene with a short comment. This worked because it was not overdone, and because it matched the razzle-dazzle of some of the video projections quite well.

It struck me after the performance that this was the type of production Los Angeles Opera should have presented last February—an innovative use of visual multimedia combined with high musical values—not the disastrous hodge-podge that John Doyle and his miscast singers (Griffey excepted) spewed onto the stage. And consider this—$190 for the L.A. ticket, 36 euros (about $53) for the Mainz ticket—you don’t always get what you pay for.

Robert Gonzales
Fort Lauderdale
Performances

Aufstieg und Fall der Stadt Mahagonny

Aalto-Musiktheater Essen

Premiere: 26 January 2008

In his program note for the new production of *Mahagonny* in Essen, director Barrie Kosky posits that Weill’s music reflects a number of Old Testament influences. Kosky makes such influences the basis for a sensational, theatrical production that seems to ask what would have happened if the Hebrews had continued to worship the Golden Calf after Moses returned from Mount Sinai.

In fact, the proceedings begin with two avatars of Moses himself placing two sets of the tablets containing the Ten Commandments (written in Hebrew) on either side of the stage apron, perhaps intended as a foil to Mahagonny’s “do-as-you-like” ethos. Whisky flows from water coolers, reminding us that whisky in Mahagonny is really the water of life. Although Kosky’s usage of biblical themes was inconsistent, especially in Act Two, it clearly implied that those who founded Mahagonny, as well as those who came there seeking fulfillment, were beyond redemption, biblical or otherwise. For example, Jenny and the other girls are all quite pregnant as they came on stage to deliver “O Moon of Alabama”—what could be more devastating to a woman making her living through sex? Or the lumberjacks, whose ideal camaraderie, emphasized by the fact that they looked and dressed virtu-ally alike, is broken, resulting in the destruction of the group. Kosky’s penchant for the bizarre and extravagant over-whelms his concept at times, but the biblical framework re-inforces the persistent themes of emptiness and despair.

Kosky’s Mahagonnites are in constant motion, and the set design reflects this basic feature—each side of the stage is dominated by a huge structure with openings at the midpoints for entrances and exits. The space in between resembles a pathway or road, emphasizing the transient nature of the town. The townspeople often break into spirited dances, sometimes on the spur of the moment (not unlike Kupfer’s 2005 production in Dresden). When the town is founded, a large red cloth is attached to one of the blades of a perpetually turning ceiling fan, which remains visible throughout the opera. This simple element not only reinforces the sense of motion, but it symbolizes the fact that Mahagonny, stuck in an unalterable rut, will never change.

The first act ends with the muted chorus celebrating the passing of the hurricane, but not before Jenny delivers stillborn twins after performing simulated oral sex on Jimmy. After intermission, the production shifts into full-blown travesty—the opera becomes a bizarre musical revue made up of equal parts over-the-top bawdi-ness and cautionary tale. The stage swarms with characters in the most garish costumes—Fatty becomes a rotund Vegas showman in a glitter suit, while Moses is transformed into a nightmare vision of Pippi Longstocking. The Men of Mahagonny cavort in hotpants and pastel wigs. The center of the stage is now filled with an enormous hollow cow’s skull which becomes the playing space for the rest of Act Two.

For “Eating,” the big skull’s eye socket is completely filled with a gross, enormous version of Jakob Schmidt, so huge that he could not even reach his zipper to relieve himself (it falls to Fatty to extract a grotesque fake organ—this elicited a couple of loud boos even as the scene was still going on). “Loving” took place inside the mouth of the skull; the attendants disinfect each customer before allowing him inside, and some customers emerge bloodied (the wages of sin? Or an allusion to Jenny’s bloodied stillborn babies?). “Fighting” is a WWE-style grudge match held atop the skull.

At the end of the second act, things turn really nasty. Trinity Moses, attired as a Charlton Heston-style Moses, does not wait for the trial to administer biblical justice; he gouges Jimmy’s eyes out, making his aria even more wrenching than usual. After the Benares song (the Crane Duet was not used in this production), Jimmy is further mutilated as Moses tears his tongue out. No longer able to speak, Jimmy uses his own blood to write out his last few lines, the last of which is to ask for a drink of water—an unmistakable reference to Christ’s last words. Moses then shoots him in the back of the head, and we are left to wonder if Moses put him out of his misery or just grew tired of the lethal game.

After all the violence and empty promises, God Himself must come to Mahagonny and answer to the people. This proves singularly unsatisfying, since the natives pay no more attention to God’s words than to Jimmy’s. This scene supplies the culmination of Kosky’s biblical allegory, not only reinforcing the hopelessness of the inhabitants but making Mahagonny timeless, an ineradicable part of the human condition.

The musical values were uniformly high. All the performers sang well and gave Kosky’s concept their all, so it is hard to single anyone out. I would give “first among equals” honors to Astrid Kropp, because she succeeds in humanizing Jenny and making us feel her pain.

Stefan Soltesz led an orchestral performance of real beauty—sometimes sounding like a chamber group, with individual instrumen-tal voices given prominence, but unleashing the full forces when instrumental fury was called for. The woodwinds especially were in fine voice, and the brass players were on their best behavior.
Performances

Lady in the Dark

Théâtre de la Renaissance Oullins

Premiere: 28 April 2008

Two years after Opéra de Lyon presented its artistically rewarding mini-Festival of works by Weill (reviewed Fall 2006), the company has offered an unofficial, even mini-er Ira Gershwin festival. Proceedings opened with the French premiere of Lady in the Dark, a co-production with Théâtre de la Renaissance, in the suburb of Oullins; Porgy and Bess followed at the opera house in May.

Scott Stroman, who conducted One Touch of Venus in 2006, took the baton again for Lady, and this performance places him in the first rank of today’s Weill interpreters. His background in both classical music and jazz affords him rare sensitivity to Lady’s demands. Not only did Stroman grasp the differences among the several jazz styles in the score, he cut loose with them, too. I’ve never heard this music sound livelier or more up to date, and I hope Stroman will continue his Weill ventures.

Amid the two-steps and the swing, Weill’s distinctive harmonies and orchestral colors—shimmering strings or thundering drums—emerged to build dramatic tension throughout each dream sequence, and Stroman skillfully managed the mood shifts in individual numbers. (“This Is New,” to cite but one example, progressed from a tender, rather dopey love song to a raging nightmare.) The ensemble of twenty-one musicians from the Orchestre de l’Opéra de Lyon is accustomed to a varied diet at the opera house, yet they played with special exuberance.

Theatrically, the news was almost as good. Jean Lacornerie, general director of the Renaissance, emphasized Lady’s contemporary relevance. Nearly seventy years after its premiere, society is still uncomfortable with strong women and the sacrifices they must make to achieve their successes. In France, such issues surfaced during the last presidential election, when Socialist candidate Ségolène Royal was criticized for being either too touchy-feely or too bitchy, by turns; as Lacornerie noted in an interview, there is as yet no feminine equivalent for grand patron (“big boss”). His updating, conveyed through sets and costumes, seemed sensible. The book scenes generated real tension and sympathy (for once, Kendall Nesbitt didn’t come across as a buffoon), while the dream sequences left one wondering what Lacornerie could do with Love Life.

His staging of Lady showed, somewhat perversely, how close Lacornerie came to hitting the jackpot with Venus. The Théâtre de la Renaissance is considerably smaller than the Broadway theaters of Weill’s day, requiring smaller casts and simpler stagecraft. Presumably, the budgets aren’t Broadway-size, either. So with Venus Lacornerie seemed perpetually constrained by his limited means; most notably, the ballets and set decorations were a disappointment. But the dream sequences in Lady seemed to liberate him. There was no need to try to make six dancers look like two dozen, no need for realism in the sets; Liza’s three dreams were decorated mostly with shifting, sparkling curtains.

Even in the book scenes, Lacornerie resorted to the simplest means. Set designer Bruno de Lavenère represented the psychiatrist’s office with a basic black flat that descended from the flies; Liza spoke to him through a little window. (Late in the evening, it was revealed that the actress playing Liza, Cécile Camp, was standing high on a ladder.) The device smacked more of the confessional than the couch, but Liza’s three-session therapy is pretty miraculous. Behind the flat, set changes could be made efficiently, so the pace never slackened. With minimal set dressing and a chic black-and-white motif (even for wigs, costumes, and lipstick), Liza’s office at Allure magazine became a temple of sophistication.

And so Lacornerie had more resources, financial and imaginative, to stage the dream sequences, which bubbled with magic tricks (supervised here by Thierry Collet but strangely absent from Venus) and frothy humor. Posing for her postage stamp, Liza squeezed into a tiny frame—and disappeared. Called on to answer Kendall Nesbitt’s marriage proposal, she was fitted with a collar and a sword was driven through her neck. Singing “One Life to Live,” she floated and soared above the stage on a Luma crane (normally used to hoist movie cameras for overhead angles). The dreams featured plenty of nudity and latex and tended to slip rather easily into nightmares, but then, for all his reveling in American popular culture, Lacornerie remains a European stage director.

His biggest gamble paid off—just. Lacornerie divided the role of Liza between two performers: for book scenes, Cécile Camp, with her tall, lean physique and commanding stage presence; for the musical numbers, Tina May, with her light, white-toned, sometimes reedy jazz soprano with a pleasing vibrato on the high notes. Though the casting probably arose from necessity, it can be defended intellectually. By design, Liza’s dream personality differs radically from her everyday personality, and the two Lizas find their antecedents in the Annas of Die sieben Todsünden: Lady makes contradictory demands on its star, just as Todsünden does.

At first, the split casting proved jarring. Camp and May look nothing alike, despite excellent wigs by Cécile Kretschmar. Moreover, the actress playing Real Liza

Russell Paxton (Jacques Verzier) directs a photo shoot for Allure Magazine. Photo: Stofleth
must be thoroughly compelling to keep the audience’s interest: Dream Liza’s spectacular antics could easily overshadow Real Liza. Fortunately, both actresses’ charms surmounted our reservations as the evening progressed—and once Lacornerie established his conceit, he began to play with it. During Act II, Dream Liza began to appear, phantom-like, in the book scenes, and Real Liza turned up in the Circus Dream, executing impressive high kicks.

Robin Chemin’s costume designs ran the gamut from Liza’s smart gray suit (ideal for the character) to lingerie for Misses Stevens, Foster and Du Bois (not so ideal). Her rigorous black-and-white palette for the Allure offices contrasted effectively with the bold colors of the dreams: saturated golds and reds, cobalt blues, and lurid purple latex jodhpurs for Jacques Verzier’s Ringmaster.

From the outset of the first dream, in “Oh Fabulous One,” Verzier’s campy Russell Paxton was undercut by Liza’s dream suitors, flamboyant, shirtless Chelsea boys. Poor Russell couldn’t possibly outdo them, so his book scenes fell flat. But Verzier (whose “West Wind” was a highlight of Venus) is a genuine musical-theater trouper, an expert dancer with a natural Broadway baritone. He took un concealed delight in “Tschaikowsky.”

As Randy Curtis, Vincent Heden looked more like Liza’s son than her love interest (Victor Immature?), but he’s pin-up cute, and he offered a clear, agile tenor. Gilles Bugeaud (Zuvetli in Venus) lent his bracing baritone to “Girl of the Moment” and his dramatic conviction to Kendall Nesbitt’s scenes. Gilles Vajou played an adorable Rodney in Venus, but for Lady he was saddled with Charley Johnson’s role—a boor by contemporary standards who nevertheless gets the girl. More actor than singer, he threw himself into the dream sequences with zest.

Florence Pelly proved more confident as Maggie Grant than as Venus’ Molly Grant (though they are really the same part), and she sang and danced bravely. Jean-Pierre Descheix offered a nuanced portrayal of the unflappable Dr. Brooks (who never appears onstage), and he gamely took part in the ensemble, even playing the circus elephant. As Sutton/Barbara, sweet-faced Andrimbaovonjy stood out, with a burbling mezzo-soprano and a surprising flair for tap dancing.

René Fix translated Moss Hart’s book into French, but musical numbers were sung in a giddy mélange of Stéphane Laporte’s ingenious French translations and Ira Gershwin’s originals. Almost everyone in the cast boasted good English diction and commendable American accents.

One problem with Hart’s book is that there’s quite a long stretch without music at the end of the play. After so much music—especially the unbridled Circus Dream—the audience needs further spectacle to sustain its enthusiasm, and Hart doesn’t provide it. As compensation, Stroman took the stage and joined the entire cast in a reprise of “Tschaikowsky” at the curtain call, drawing lusty approval from the full house.

Serge Dorny, intendant of Opéra de Lyon, has earned the right to bask in the applause. In three short years, he has brought to Lyon Der Landberghflug and Die sieben Todsünden (a double bill directed by François Girard and conducted by Roberto Minzuk in 2006) and the French premieres of Venus and Lady—and every production has met exceptionally high musical standards.

William V. Madison
Paris
Performances

Lost in the Stars

Opera Pittsburgh

Premiere: 21 February 2008

Weill and Maxwell Anderson wrote Lost in the Stars (1949) in an America deeply in denial over racial inequity. The power of this “musical tragedy” gained urgency from ugly contemporary social realities—brutalities of segregation, Jim Crow laws, civil and economic injustice—that persisted after the United States had helped win a world war against fascism and that helped safeguard individual rights and dignity. Over the following decades, the civil rights movement would reform the nation’s laws and practices. Racial (not to mention gender and ethnic) disparity has changed, and the show’s message has shifted for 21st-century audiences.

Anderson based the libretto on Alan Paton’s novel Cry, the Beloved Country (1948), which readers and audiences understood not only as a glimpse inside the destructiveness of South African apartheid but also as a critique of America’s torpidity in confronting its racism. Sixty years later, racial prejudice is still with us, but America is no longer two quite separate and unequal societies.

The audience for the premiere of this new and excellent production responded accordingly. African-Americans filled two-thirds of the seats in Pittsburgh’s Byham Theater, among them prominent cultural and professional leaders. A drummer from Zaire who has resided for decades in the United States led the African drummers who entertained in the lobby prior to the show. And the mixed audience seemed not only at ease with its varieties of skin tone and culture, but also willing to accept the drama and morality presented on stage primarily as a religious story of love’s redemption.

Perhaps the libretto’s most assertive instance of racial disparity occurs in the third number, “Train to Johannesburg,” with its lyrics, “White man go to Johannesburg / He come back / Black man go to Johannesburg / Never come back.” Audiences in the 1940s and 1950s (as I understand from those who attended early performances) felt empathetic and impassioned outrage. At the premiere of this production, strong voices, sharp diction, energy, and palpable tension from Leader (Larry J. Giddens Jr.) and chorus evoked appreciative nods from the listeners but no discernible visceral response. The minimalist set and props (by Danila Korogodsky) abetted emotional detachment. The actors mimed climbing aboard an invisible train, shuffled offstage to the chugging rhythms of the music, crossed and recrossed the stage as the “train” receded into the distance, preceded by successively smaller posterboard cut-outs of a locomotive and railroad cars.

Otherwise, the simple space and properties—a bare stage surrounded by a blackvoid except for painted corrugated surfaces (evoking Shantytown?) behind the chorus benches; plain chairs, tables, and benches that were sometimes arranged at angles to frame spaces suggesting rooms or houses; and tall grass or trees suggesting veldt or hills, in small wagons that glided on or off stage—allowed for uncluttered scenes, quick changes, and an array of possible interpretations. Tiny lamps suspended from the fly bars, dimmed or brightened and raised or lowered, represented stars/souls. A dollhouse-size model, lit from within, of the home of the one enlightened white man—who becomes the murder victim—was lowered onto the stage during scenes set in the house. The production brought the chorus and Leader into the auditorium via a passarelle (a curved walkway between audience and pit), with platforms for the chorus against both walls extending beyond the first rows of the audience.

Julius Rudel led the orchestra, hidden in the pit below stage and passarelle, which performed with immaculate attention to the score and with unsurpassed phrasing and ensemble. The only other time I have admired a theater orchestra’s performance as much was at the Metropolitan Opera. Never has Weill’s eclectic blending of ballads, hymns, jazz, and folk song made more sense or flowed more seamlessly from one scene to the next.

The remarkable cast sang expressively and acted with conviction throughout. To mention only some of the highlights, twins Herbert and Eugene Perry played Reverend Stephen Kumalo and his son Absalom, respectively; Herbert’s convincing acting and expressive baritone provided a solid center in nearly every scene. The striking resemblance of the two actors made breathtakingly clear the psychic connection between father and son. Kevin Brown was persuasively immoral as Stephen’s brother John Kumalo, and Martin Giles brought fire to the role of conscience-stricken James Jarvis. Denise Sheffey Powell, in a seductive red dress as Linda, stirred the audience with a raunchy “Who’ll Buy?” and eleven-year-old W. Roger Randolph III was winsome as nephew Alex. But the biggest applause went to Dzidzofe Avouglan as Irina, who created an intimate bond with the audience through her warm, glossy vocal tone and infectious spirit.

Lost in the Stars is a moral drama told through a cyclical narrative of learned injustice, in which power (desire, greed) seems invariably to triumph over ideals (personal fulfillment, justice). But it leaves room for hope; it remains at its core a celebration of the potential for human dignity, and a call to strive toward our highest values. Weill fans can be grateful to director Jonathan Eaton, conductor Julius Rudel, and co-producers Opera Theater of Pittsburgh and the Virginia Arts Festival for bringing it to life in this rare and splendid production.

Deane Root
University of Pittsburgh
NEWSLETTER READER SURVEY

With the Kurt Weill Newsletter in its 25th year, we are asking for your help in telling us what you like and don’t like about the design, content, and purpose of our publication. No matter whether you’ve been reading the Newsletter for years or you hold a copy in your hands for the first time—we invite all of you to make your opinions known. Please answer the questions below and return the form to the Kurt Weill Foundation by fax or post; please append additional pages as needed. Your answers and comments will help us improve the Newsletter, and we will gladly consider all suggestions as we prepare for its second quarter century.

You can also complete this survey online:
http://www.kwf.org/pages/newsletter/kwn.html

General Questions (please circle your answer)

1. How do you normally encounter the Newsletter?
   a. subscription to print edition
   b. in a library
   c. online
   d. other ____________________________

2. Do you read the Newsletter regularly?
   a. no
   b. yes (if yes, for how many years: _______ )

3. In general, is the number of illustrations
   a. too great?
   b. too small?
   c. about right?

4. Which section do you most enjoy?
   a. features (front section)
   b. Topical Weill (center section)
   c. reviews (back section)

5. Which section do you find most useful?
   a. features (front section)
   b. Topical Weill (middle section)
   c. reviews (back section)

6. In general, are the feature articles
   a. too scholarly?
   b. not scholarly enough?
   c. about right?

7. What type of review do you most enjoy reading?
   a. book
   b. performance
   c. recording

8. The Newsletter has been printed either in black-and-white or with one additional color (two-color process) throughout its history. Would you prefer
   a. black-and-white?
   b. two-color process?
   c. four-color process (full color)?

9. What Weill-related topics would you like to see covered in the Newsletter? Or should the Newsletter cover topics not related to Weill?
   __________________________________________________
   __________________________________________________
   __________________________________________________

Rankings

In this section, please rate each aspect from A (best) to F (worst). Amplifying comments will be especially helpful.

Please rate the overall design of the Newsletter
   ___ in terms of ease of reading
   ___ in terms of visual appeal

Please rate the general quality of writing in the features section of the Newsletter
   ___ in terms of conveying information or opinion clearly
   ___ in terms of ease of reading
   ___ in terms of style

Final Questions

If you could change one aspect of the Newsletter, what would it be and how would you change it?
   __________________________________________________
   __________________________________________________
   __________________________________________________

Please add any comments and suggestions you may have about the Newsletter. (Please use extra sheets of paper.)

Thank you!
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