Weill composed *The Lindbergh Flight* in 1929. It is the second of the two cantatas on texts by Bertolt Brecht (the first being *Das Berliner Requiem*) which he wrote during the twelve months following the première of *The Threepenny Opera*. (In that same period he finished his three-act opera *Mahagonny*, and composed his score for *Happy End*.) The first performance was conducted by Otto Klemperer at a Berlin concert in November 1929, and was well received. In style the work derives from the *Berliner Requiem* and hence from the more ‘classical’ and austere passages in *Mahagonny*. It has no affinities with *The Threepenny Opera* or *Happy End*; and the allusions to popular music and jazz, which are commonly held to be typical of Weill, are confined to two short numbers. For the first time since 1925, Weill used
a normal medium-sized orchestra; but from this are drawn various smaller ensembles. Orchestration is one of the elements that define the many and progressively illuminating relationships between the fifteen numbers. The idea of an upward and outward exploration from modest beginnings determines the total form.

When, in May 1927, Charles Lindbergh completed the first transatlantic solo flight, both hemispheres rejoiced as if at the dawn of a new age. No flying exploit of our own day has inspired such unalloyed enthusiasm; nor has any later explorer been so idolised by the general public or so feverishly wooed by commerce and high society. In 'Lucky Lindbergh', the twentieth century discovered the embodiment of its simpler dreams, and Show Business discovered a star greater than Hollywood’s. The ‘common man’ who landed his frail craft at Le Bourget stepped out into a world that had cast him as Superman. In that respect, the Lindbergh of the popular imagination was foreign to everything Weill stood for. When Stokowski introduced his cantata to America, audiences were baffled and dismayed by the sombre and profoundly un-American tone of the music. Even Berlin, which had yet to hear the Berliner Requiem, was surprised by it.

Although Weill believed that no artist should disassociate himself from the major ideas and events of his time, he was well aware that topicality in the purely journalistic sense is incompatible with true artistic expression. In his writings as in his works, he repeatedly affirmed the necessity of finding a universal and if possible timeless context for whatever was local and contemporary. ‘Stravinsky’s Oedipus Rex’, he once wrote, ‘is no less a mirror of our time than Chaplin’s Gold Rush’. In The Lindbergh Flight he reverses Stravinsky’s process. Actuality is robbed of its news value and pushed into the past, where it seems to merge with myth and ritual. While preserving his own unmistakable individuality, Weill establishes a historical perspective that extends back from his own age – represented by the first two numbers – through those of Liszt and Mendelssohn, and then of Bach and Handel, to that of plainsong and the trouvères.

Some General Observations

After the first two numbers, almost nothing about the character of the music could be guessed from a mere reading of Brecht’s text. Even in the second number, where Lindbergh announces that he is an American and reveals his native courage, the ‘jazzy’ idiom is stressedly European and the ‘brave’ tone stressedly unheroic. In the very next number Weill removes the protagonist not only from his home country but also from his century: the aviator sings of his flight kit in the tones of a priest or cantor from Mussorgsky’s time. Each new ‘historical’ allusion in the score has its own expressive and formal function. The three-part invention of the ‘Fog’ chorus is not a comment on Bach – despite the direct reference to the C minor Fugue of the first book of the ‘48’; it is a comment on what Weill described as Fog’s ‘reactionary’ attitudes. There is nothing nebulous
about the counterpoint, yet its rigidity and final paralysis explain why Fog
has to order the more dynamic ‘Snowstorm’ to continue the assault upon
the flier. However, Lindbergh’s greatest enemy, as Weill remarked, is
neither Fog nor Storm, but the enemy within. The hypnotic song of Sleep
transforms motives from Lindbergh’s opening ‘jazz’ number into a
lullaby for some modern Mephistopheles to sing to Faust beside the banks
of the Elbe.

Stage by stage the neo-classical and neo-romantic elements in the score
are drawn ever more closely together until in the last two numbers they are
fused. The music of the penultimate number - a sinfonia for orchestra -
takes a sober view of Lindbergh’s ‘triumph’, while that of the finale
contains a note of general warning. Today, no less than in the 1920s, we
perhaps need to be reminded that technological progress is not synony­
mous with progress in other fields; that man may ‘raise himself up’ only to
fall from a greater height than before; and that even if he does not fall,
achievement is, among other things, a means of discovering what has yet
to be achieved.

Origins and Postscripts

The first and unpublished setting of Brecht’s Lindbergh text was a joint
composition for radio by Weill and Hindemith. In that form – with the
numbers divided about equally between the two composers – it was
presented at the 1929 summer festival of modern German music in Baden-
Baden. Weill and Hindemith then withdrew their manuscripts. They had
been competing rather than collaborating, and in a sense Weill had won the
competition. But in producing, as he now did, a substantial cantata for
concert performance, he was following his own inclination, not Brecht’s.
With good reason, Brecht did not take kindly to the idea of being a mere
librettist. For him, the original Lindbergh Flight was a ‘radio play’, and music
was at best a secondary consideration. So in 1930 he staked his own claim
on the text, and published a revised and greatly expanded version entitled
‘The Flight of the Lindberghs – a didactic radio play for boys and girls’.
In function and significance, no less than in structure, it is an independent
work. Whereas the text of The Lindbergh Flight is wholly unpolitical and is
largely defined by Weill’s music, The Flight of the Lindberghs is the work
of a committed Marxist, and is in no sense a work of Weill’s. Consequently
Brecht felt able to make subsequent dispositions on his own account.
In 1950 he wrote to a German radio station saying that ‘in my radio play’
the name of Lindbergh must be suppressed, and the title changed to
‘Der Ozeanflug’, the ‘ocean flight’. A new prologue – explaining that the
aviator’s political errors in the 1930s necessitated these changes – was to
be spoken at the outset. Weill was already on his death-bed, and apparently
remained in ignorance of the correspondence.

In conformity with current agreements relating to Brecht’s measures of 1950,
the title of Weill’s cantata is here changed to Der Ozeanflug and the name of
the protagonist is suppressed. Square brackets in the text following indicate the
changes made by Brecht in the corresponding parts of his radio play.
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